should be a positive (unless you think Christians just ought to shut up and keep their faith to themselves like some readers of this blog and many liberals in general). I am curious, however, how people will respond to this (see today's NYTimes front page story) given the venom many seem to have for evangelical Christians today. I'm sure we'll all hear the following words in the next weeks: "extremist", "religious fundamentalist", "radical right", "scary", "turn back the clock", and "Dark Ages". Anyone have any other guesses? While I should note that I don't think she is qualified because she is an evangelical Christian, all things being equal, someone who acknowledges the true God, seeks wisdom from Him, and cares about all people because they have been made in His image is better than someone who is not a Christian. But many liberals and the MSM will surely find this trait to be objectionable and scary, all the while denying that they are imposing a religious test. So much for tolerance.

· Miers also appears to be an evangelical Christian. For those who care about diversity, this

President Bush picked her, and Bush has a solid track record on picking strong judges.
While "trust me" is not enough for a Supreme Court nomination, Bush has at least some credit left in the bank in this area.